REMARKS

Claims 1-27, 30-34, 47, 48, 50 and 57-60 are pending in this application.

Applicants have amended claims 1 and 47 to recite a method for repairing a defect locus in a nonarticular cartilage tissue and promoting chondrogenesis at a nonarticular defect locus, respectively, wherein the formation of functional permanent cartilage tissue is induced. Support for this amendment is provided, for example, at specification page 31, lines 26-28.

None of the amendments adds new matter.

Applicants address the Examiner's rejection below:

35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

Claims 1-25, 30-34, 47-48, 57 and 59-60

The Examiner has maintained the rejection of claims 1-25, 30-34, 47-48, 57 and 59-60 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over WO 96/14335 ("Luyten") in view of WO 95/16035 ("Celeste") and Cui et al., "Repair of thyroid cartilage defect Page 11 of 17

Application No.: 09/828,607 Amendment dated April 23, 2004

In response to Examiner's Office Action dated December 23, 2003

with bone morphogenetic protein, "Annals of Otology, Rhinology and Laryngology, 106, pp. 326-328 (1997) ("Cui"). The Examiner states that Luyten discloses BMP-2, 3, 5 and that BMPs are used for cartilage and bone development. The Examiner states that Celeste teaches BMPs with the same activity as disclosed in Luyten and a pharmaceutical acceptable carrier such as collagen. The Examiner further states that Cui teaches the repair of thyroid cartilage defect with BMPs. The Examiner, therefore, concludes that it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to arrive at the claimed invention by combining the teachings of the cited references.

First, applicants have amended claims 1 and 47 (and therefore, claims dependent thereon) to recite a method for repairing a defect locus in a nonarticular cartilage tissue and a method for promoting chondrogenesis at a nonarticular defect locus, respectively, using specific osteogenic proteins to induce the formation of functional permanent cartilage tissue.

Therefore, the claims of the instant application require that functional replacement cartilage tissue be induced.

Luyten discloses that BMPs may have cartilage and bone inducing activity. However, Luyten discloses this activity in the context of the stages of endochondral bone formation. Specifically, Luyten states that the normal stages of endochondral bone formation "include mesenchymal condensation, cartilage, and bone marrow formation and eventual mineralization to produce mature bone" (see, page 1, lines 25-27). Furthermore, Luyten discloses that BMPs induce bone formation in addition to cartilage formation (see, page 17, lines 34-35). Therefore, in contrast with the amended claims of the instant application, the cartilage formation disclosed in Luyten is not permanent.

Neither <u>Cui</u> nor <u>Celeste</u> remedies this deficiency. <u>Cui</u> discloses that bovine BMP repairs a thyroid cartilage defect by inducing new <u>bone</u> formation which fills the defect in the cartilage. <u>Cui</u> teaches that although both new bone and cartilage formation is observed four weeks following implantation of bBMP at the cartilage defect, eight weeks after implantation, the bone formation had advanced to result in the complete closure of the defect (see, page 326, col. 2, to page 328, col.1). Therefore, <u>Cui</u> confirms the teachings of <u>Luyten</u> that cartilage formation is <u>Page 13 of 17</u>

Application No.: 09/828,607 Amendment dated April 23, 2004

In response to Examiner's Office Action dated December 23, 2003

temporary. In fact, the combination of <u>Cui</u> and <u>Luyten</u> teaches away from applicant's invention.

Celeste discloses that BMP-12 and BMP-13, either alone or in combination with other BMPs, induce tendon/ligament-like tissue healing and repair. Celeste discloses that BMP-12 implanted rats showed tendon/ligament-like tissue formation but no cartilage or bone formation, whereas BMP-2 implanted rats showed only the expected cartilage and bone formation. Nothing in Celeste teaches or suggests that the cartilage formation is permanent as is recited in the amended claims.

Accordingly, nothing in the combination of <u>Luyten</u>,

<u>Celeste</u> or <u>Cui</u>, teaches a method for repairing nonarticular

cartilage tissue or promoting chondrogenesis at a nonarticular

defect locus by inducing functional <u>permanent</u> cartilage tissue,

as recited in the amended claims of this application.

Accordingly, applicants request that the Examiner withdraw this obviousness rejection.

Claims 1-6, 8-25, 27 and 30-34

The Examiner has maintained the rejection of claims 1-6, 8-25, 27 and 30-34 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over <u>Cui</u> in view of <u>Celeste</u>.

As described above, applicants have amended claim 1 (and therefore, claims dependent thereon) to recite a method for repairing a defect locus in a nonarticular cartilage tissue using specific osteogenic proteins, wherein the method induces the formation of functional permanent replacement cartilage tissue.

As discussed above, <u>Cui</u> discloses that bovine BMP induces new <u>bone</u> to fill the defect in the cartilage, not functional <u>permanent</u> cartilage as recited in the amended claims of the instant application. And, nothing in <u>Celeste</u> provides any teaching or suggestion that the recited osteogenic proteins claimed in the instant application are capable of inducing permanent replacement cartilage tissue.

Thus, nothing in the combination of <u>Cui</u> or <u>Celeste</u>, teaches or suggests a method for repairing a defect locus in a

Application No.: 09/828,607 Amendment dated April 23, 2004

In response to Examiner's Office Action dated December 23, 2003

nonarticular cartilage tissue, thereby inducing the formation of functional permanent replacement cartilage tissue to repair the defect, using the specific osteogenic proteins recited in the amended claims. Accordingly, applicants request that the Examiner withdraw this obviousness rejection.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing remarks and amendments, applicants request that the Examiner favorably reconsider this application and allow the claims pending herein. If the Examiner believes that a telephone conference would expedite allowance of this application, she is invited to telephone the undersigned at any time.

Respectfully submitted,

James F. Haley, Jr. (Reg. No. 27,794)

Karen Mangasarian (Reg. No. 43,772)

Attorneys for Applicants

Connie Wong (Limited Recognition)

Agent for Applicants

c/o FISH & NEAVE

1251 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10020-1104 Telephone (212) 596-9000